

Creating Greener, More Flood Resilient Communities Workshop Feedback

October 14, 2013

Presenter Feedback:

Question 1: To what extent is the information presented applicable to your work? (Please rate from 1-very little to 5- very much) – Overall Analysis

1	2	3	4	5
21	31	141	278	234

Question 2: How much did this presentation improve your knowledge on this topic? (Please rate 1- very little to 5- very much) – Overall Analysis

1	2	3	4	5
18	31	140	287	268

What did you like best about the workshop?

- Amount of information all at one place
- Funding panel
- Work in Lancaster
- The scientists from Bloom and Bucknell
- Event organization and execution
- Moved along quickly – presented a lot of information
- Range of information covered
- Good local examples presented
- Experts really knowledgeable
- Stream dynamics and riverfront master planning
- “So much information, so needed”
- Event start time
- Comfortable chairs and the food – “perfect”

What can be improved?

- Parking – orange lot not available for use, parking too remote
- Fewer speakers – more time per speaker
- Break in afternoon
- Lump funders into one presentation
- Room too cold
- “sitting too long makes it hard to absorb information”
- Keep on schedule
- Felt very rushed – speakers seemed to rush and skip info
- “Have Dan Fitzpatrick get well and speak at next workshop”
- Q&A time, too much information in one day
- Too many people
- Make the workshop a two day event
- Focus in on one subject and have several workshops about 3-6 months apart

Additional info needed but not presented:

- Floodplain info, FEMA maps not accurate
- Current applicable laws
- A fact sheet on all funding options
- More info on Biggert-Water /National Flood Insurance Program